JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

### 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
STEPHEN WARREN				IBM CORPORATION						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Somerset  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Westchester  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
		arter Avenue, Suit	e +	Attorneys (If Kno						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OI		NCIPA				r Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	× 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases O	Only) PTF  1	<b>DEF</b>	Incorporated <i>or</i> Proof Business In T		Defendant) PTF  4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			en of Another State	<ul><li>□ 2</li><li>□ 3</li></ul>	<ul><li>□ 2</li><li>□ 3</li></ul>	Incorporated and For Business In A		<ul><li>□ 5</li><li>□ 6</li></ul>	<ul><li>□ 5</li><li>□ 6</li></ul>
			1	reign Country						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FC	RFEITURE/PENALT			for: Nature of S		SCRIPTION:	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 151 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 0 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigatio 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applie 5 Other Immigration Actions	8881	422 Apr   423 Wit   28   PROPEI   820 Copr   830 Pate   830 Pate   840 Tra   880 Def   Act   Act   862 Bla   863 DIV   864 SSI   865 RSI   870 Tax   or I   871 IRS	peal 28 USC 158 hdrawal USC 157  RTY RIGHTS  pyrights ent ent - Abbreviated w Drug Application	375 False 0 376 Qui Ta 3729( 400 State I 410 Antitri 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Depor 470 Racke Corrug 480 Consu (15 U; 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/ 850 Securi Excha 890 Other 891 Agrict 893 Envire 895 Freede Act 896 Arbitri 899 Admir Act/Re Agenc 950 Consti	Claims Act am (31 USC a)) Reapportion ust and Bankir herce tation teer Influenct Organizat mer Credit SC 1681 or none Consument of Cons	mment mg  acced and tions  1692) mer  odities/ actions atters mation
	m One Box Only) moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened	ansferre other D ecify)		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 29 U.S.C. §621, et sec Brief description of c Plaintiff is bringing this	action against his former	10:5-1, et	seq. ("NJLAD").						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ excess of \$75,000			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		n complair	at:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				_DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD						
February 12, 2021 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		100	war (	· Curific						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	iΕ		MAG. JUI	OGE		

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

STEPHEN WARREN Basking Ridge, NJ 07920,

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND

IBM CORPORATION, One New Orchard Road Armonk, NY 10504

v.

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Plaintiff, Stephen Warren ("Plaintiff"), was a successful, decades-long employee of Defendant, IBM Corporation ("Defendant"), when he was terminated without warning as part of a reduction in force. Plaintiff, age sixty (60), was terminated because of his age amid Defendant's practice of using reductions in force to eliminate older workers from its organization. Following his termination, and amid Defendant's instruction that he was eligible to apply to other openings, Plaintiff applied for at least sixteen (16) positions for which he was qualified, but he did not even receive an interview for a single position to which he applied. Plaintiff now brings claims pursuant to the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. ("ADEA"), and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination, as amended, N.J.S.A. 10:5-1, et seq. ("NJLAD"), and seeks all appropriate relief including back-pay, front-pay, compensatory, liquidated, and punitive damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

### II. PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Stephen Warren, is an individual and a citizen of the State of New Jersey. He resides in Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920.

- 2. Plaintiff is sixty (60) years old.
- 3. Defendant International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") is a New York Corporation headquartered at One (1) New Orchard Road, Armonk, New York.
- 4. Defendant is engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce and regularly does business in the state of New Jersey.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff worked out of his home in Basking Ridge, New Jersey on Defendant's behalf.
  - 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant employed twenty (20) or more employees.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted by and through authorized agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant and in furtherance of its business.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of the statutes which forms the basis of this matter.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant within the meaning of the statutes which forms the basis of this matter.

### III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 10. The causes of action which form the basis of this matter arise under the ADEA and the NJLAD.
- 11. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (ADEA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 12. The District Court has jurisdiction over all Counts (I and II) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 since the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of seventy-five thousand dollars

(\$75,000), exclusive of interests and costs, and as there is complete diversity of citizenship as Plaintiff is a citizen of New Jersey and Defendant is a citizen of New York.

- 13. Venue is proper in the District Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).
- 14. On or about June 23, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), complaining of the acts of discrimination alleged herein. Attached hereto and incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "1" is a true and correct copy of the EEOC Charge of Discrimination (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 15. On or about September 9, 2020, Plaintiff filed an Amended Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC, complaining of the acts of discrimination alleged here. Attached hereto and incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "2" is a true and correct copy of the Amended EEOC Charge of Discrimination (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 16. On or about November 18, 2020 the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Dismissal and Notice of Rights for Plaintiff's EEOC Charge. Attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "3" is a true and correct copy of that notice (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 17. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

### IV. <u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 18. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant's predecessor in interest, in or about 1995.
- 19. In or about January, 1999, Defendant purchased Plaintiff's employer and he became and employee of Defendant.
- 20. Amid his strong performance, Plaintiff held a number of roles, reporting to a variety of individuals through his decades of employment with Defendant. By 2019, Plaintiff was Project

Manager/Sales Enablement Delivery Manager for Defendant's Technology Support Services ("TSS") business unit.

- 21. Plaintiff consistently received positive performance reviews.
- 22. In or about January, 2020, Plaintiff began reporting to Mindy Booknis ("Booknis") (age 45), Manager North America, TSS. Booknis in turn reported to Linda York ("York") (age 50), Vice President TSS.
  - 23. Plaintiff was one of the three (3) oldest employees reporting to Booknis.
- 24. The Plaintiff was qualified/more qualified to perform a number of roles that also reported to Booknis, including Delivery Project Executive (3 positions), Project Manager (1 position), Advisory Project Manager (1 position), and Delivery Project Manager (1 position).
- 25. During the last several years of Plaintiff's employment, he observed Defendant engage in multiple reductions in force. Plaintiff noted that Defendant typically retained younger employees, while eliminating older employees from Defendant's workforce.
- 26. At the same time, Defendant's practices of terminating older workers in order to reduce the age of its workforce and retain younger workers were written about publicly in the news media and Plaintiff understood them to be widely known.
- 27. Employees within Defendant's organization routinely commented about Defendant's rapidly aging workforce and efforts to retain younger employees at the expense of older workers.
- 28. In or about January, 2020, Booknis transferred Joseph Alvertz ("Alvertz") (age 40) and Marcia Smith ("Smith") (age 45) to her team.
  - 29. Plaintiff was qualified to perform both Alvertz's and Smith's roles.
  - 30. Booknis instructed Plaintiff to train Smith to perform his job.

- 31. With the addition of Alvertz and Smith, the following individuals reported to Bookniss: Plaintiff (60), Alvertz (40), Smith(40), Katia Ramos (50), Scott Severit (55), Ronald Larson (60), and Lisa Dexter (60).
- 32. On or about May 21, 2020, during a phone call, Booknis terminated Plaintiff's employment, effective June 22, 2020.
  - 33. Prior to the call, Plaintiff had never been told that his job was in any jeopardy.
  - 34. The only reason provided for Plaintiff's termination was a workforce reduction.
- 35. In an email, following the call, Booknis wrote, in part, "....IBM is now taking structural actions that are critical to our long-term competitiveness. This includes a workforce reduction which permanently affects your employment."
- 36. Defendant did not offer any explanation, including the selection criteria, as to why Plaintiff was terminated while less qualified, substantially younger employees hired after Plaintiff were retained.
- 37. Lisa Dexter was also terminated on June 22, 2020. As a result, Defendant terminated two of the three oldest employees reporting to Booknis.
  - 38. Defendant retained the two youngest employees reporting to Booknis.
  - 39. Defendant assigned Plaintiff's job duties to the substantially younger Smith.
- 40. Plaintiff was more qualified and experienced and possessed a certification(s) and a more specialized skillset to perform his job duties than Smith possessed.
- 41. Defendant did not provide Plaintiff with an OWBPA list in connection the release agreement given to him following the workforce reduction.
- 42. Defendant's upper management made a decision to not provide information as to the ages of people being terminated from Defendant.

- 43. Following his termination by Defendant, Plaintiff applied to at least sixteen (16) open positions with Defendant for which he was qualified, including:
  - a. Cloud Migration Technical Project Manager (Application on or about July 6, 2020);
  - Multivendor Services New Business Representative (Application on or about July 7, 2020);
  - c. Technical Project Manager (Application on or about July 8, 2020);
  - d. Client Representative Financial (Application on or about July 8, 2020);
  - e. Help Desk Support Specialist (Application on or about July 9, 2020);
  - f. AWS Modernization Technical Manager (Application on or about July 14, 2020);
  - g. Program Manager Cloud Security (Application on or about July 24, 2020);
  - Multivendor Services New Business Representative (Application on or about July 24, 2020);
  - i. Cloud Enterprise Operations Tactical Response Manager
     (Application on or about August 7, 2020);
  - j. Project Manager (Application on or about August 10, 2020);
  - k. Offering Manager Program position (Application on or about August 10, 2020);

- Technical Program Manger (Application on or about August 10, 2020);
- m. Contract Specialist-Software Systems (Application on or about August 12, 2020);
- n. Watson Interaction Manager (Application on or about August 12, 2020);
- Sales Manager Public and Federal Markets (Application on or about August 12, 2020); and,
- p. Cloud Technical Delivery Manager (Application on or about August 20, 2020).
- 44. Plaintiff did not receive any of the above positions.
- 45. Plaintiff was not asked to interview for any of the above positions.
- 46. Plaintiff was asked to complete an assessment/test in connection with the position identified in Paragraph 42(k) above. Plaintiff completed the assessment.
- 47. Defendant did not provide any explanation for failing to select Plaintiff for the positions identified in Paragraph 42.
- 48. Defendant failed to provide a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for the discriminatory conduct to which Plaintiff was subjected, including without limitation, in connection with Plaintiff's termination and Defendant's failure to hire him into positions for which he was qualified.
  - 49. Defendant's stated reason for his discriminatory termination is pre-textual.
- 50. Plaintiff's age was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Defendant's discriminatory treatment of Plaintiff including without limitation, in connection with

Plaintiff's termination and Defendant's failure to hire him into positions for which he was qualified.

- 51. Defendant engaged in a pattern and practice of discriminating against older employees in connection with employment practices including, but not limited to, retention and termination.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 53. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

### COUNT I – ADEA

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 to 53 above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 55. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant violated the ADEA.
- 56. Defendant's violations of the ADEA were intentional and willful under the circumstances, warranting the imposition of liquidated damages.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the ADEA, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein.
- 58. Plaintiff is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.

8

### **COUNT II – NJLAD**

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 to 58 above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 60. Defendant, by the above-described discriminatory and retaliatory acts, has violated the NJLAD.
- 61. Members of Defendant's upper management had actual participation in, or willful indifference to, Defendant's wrongful conduct described herein, and their conduct warrants the imposition of punitive damages against Defendant.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein, and has incurred attorney's fees and costs.
- 63. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory, retaliatory and unlawful acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.
  - 64. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

### **RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant:

- (a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the ADEA;
- (b) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the NJLAD;
- (c) entering judgment against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff in an amount to be determined;
  - (d) enjoining and restraining permanently the violations alleged herein;

(e) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff to make Plaintiff whole for all past and future lost earnings, benefits, and earning capacity, which Plaintiff has suffered and will continue

to suffer as a result of Defendant's discriminatory, retaliatory, and unlawful misconduct;

(f) awarding liquidated damages;

(g) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future emotional upset,

mental anguish, humiliation, loss of life's pleasures, and pain and suffering;

(h) awarding Plaintiff costs of this action, together with reasonable attorney's fees;

(i) awarding punitive damages to Plaintiff;

(j) awarding Plaintiff such other damages as are appropriate under the ADEA and the

NJLAD; and

(k) granting such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

### CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC

Dated: February 12, 2021 BY: /s/ Katherine C. Oeltjen

Katherine C. Oeltjen (57372013)

CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW LLC

110 Marter Avenue, Suite 502

Moorestown, NJ 08057

Telephone: (856) 854-4000 Facsimile: (215) 565-2852

Attorneys for Plaintiff Stephen Warren

# EXHIBIT A

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION							
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See privacy statement before consolidating this form.							
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP REDACTED Basking Ridge, NJ 07920							
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, APPRENTICESHIP, COMMITTEE, STATE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one than list below)							
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBI > 20			TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (914) 499-1900				
CITY, STATE AND ZIP Armonk, NY 10504-1722			COUNTY Westchester				
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION (Check appropriate box(es))  Q Race Q Color Q Sex Q Religion Q National Origin  Q Retaliation X Age Q Disability Q Other (Specify)			RIMINATION TOOK PLACE  Latest 06/22/2020				
(-13)			into-				
A. 1. Relevant Work History  I was hired by Respondent's predecessor in or about January 1999. I held the position of Delivery Project Manager. I reported to Mindy Booknis (45°), Manager, North America TSS Support as a Service. Booknis reported to Linda York (50), Vice President, TSS Services. I worked out of my home office in New Jersey.  Respondent terminated my employment because of my age. I was age sixty (60) with more than twenty-one (21) years of service at Respondent and Respondent's predecessor. When I was terminated, Respondent retained substantially younger employees in positions for which I was more qualified. Respondent terminated two (2) of the oldest employees reporting to Booknis and retained the two (2) youngest employees reporting to Booknis, both of whom were substantially younger than me, less qualified than me, and hired after I was hired.  I consistently demonstrated positive performance and dedication to Respondent. I performed my duties in a highly competent manner and received positive feedback.							
any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with I swear of							
and correct.	The second section is a second	***					
e):	SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE  (Day Month, and year)						
	TY, STATE AND 2 sking Ridge, NJ 07 RGANIZATION, DISCRIMINATED  NUMBER OF EM > 20  CITY, STATE A Armonk, NY 105 opriate box(es)) Q National Origin Other (Specify)  in or about Janua, North America T and out of my home ecause of my age predecessor. Whi i was more qualified (2) youngest employed in dealth and dedice predecessor.  e State or local Agency s or telephone number charge in accordance and correct.	HOME TELL REDACTED  TY, STATE AND ZIP sking Ridge, NJ 07920  RGANIZATION, EMPLOYME: DISCRIMINATED AGAINST M.  NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, M. > 20  CITY, STATE AND ZIP Armonk, NY 10504-1722  opriate box(es)) Q National Origin Other (Specify)  in or about January 1999. I he was more qualified. Responded to the first was more qualified. Responded to the more and dedication to Respondent and hired after I was hired.  The state or local Agency, if so or telephone number and charge in accordance with and charge in accordance with and correct.  SUBSCRIBED	HOME TELEPHONE NULL REDACTED  TY, STATE AND ZIP sking Ridge, NJ 07920  RGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS > 20  CITY, STATE AND ZIP Armonk, NY 10504-1722  TOTAL AMOREM DESCRIPTION DATE DISCRIPTION OTHER (Specify)  DATE DISCRIPTION DATE DATE DISCRIPTION DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> All ages herein are approximations.

## EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 2 of 3 Initials of Charging Party – Saco

### Harm Summary

I have been discriminated against because of my age (60). Evidence of the discriminatory conduct to which I have been subjected includes, but is not limited to, the following.

- (a) In or about January 2020, I began reporting to Booknis.
- (b) I was one (1) of the three (3) oldest employees reporting to Booknis.
- (c) In addition to me, the following employees reported to Booknis at the time of my termination. I was more qualified to perform these employees' positions.
  - i. Joseph Avlertz (40), Delivery Project Executive;
  - ii. Marcia Smith (45), Delivery Project Executive;
  - iii. Katia Ramos (50), Project Manager;
  - Scott Severit (55), Delivery Project Executive;
  - v. Ronald Larson (60), Advisory Project Manager; and,
  - vi. Lisa Dexter (60), Delivery Project Manager.
- (d) After Respondent conducted reductions in force, I routinely heard employees commenting that Respondent had an aging workforce and younger employees were being retained.
- (e) In or about January 2020, Booknis transferred Alvertz (40) and Smith (45) to her team.
- (f) I was instructed to train Smith (45) to do my job duties.
- (g) On May 21, 2020, on a WebEx call with Booknis, Respondent terminated my employment, effective June 22, 2020. The stated reason was Respondent's workforce reduction. I was told that I could relocate to Missouri, to an unspecific job, to continue my employment with Respondent.
- (h) On May 21, 2020, in an email from Booknis, she stated the following: "In our highly competitive marketplace, IBM must maintain the flexibility and the skill base to meet the demands of clients operating under extremely challenging business conditions. While we always consider the current environment, IBM is now taking structural actions that are critical to our long-term competitiveness. This includes a workforce reduction which permanently affects your employment." I understood Respondent's statements to be evidence of age discrimination.
- (i) On June 22, 2020, Respondent terminated my employment.
- (i) Respondent terminated my employment because of my age.
- (k) Respondent offered no explanation, including the selection criteria, as to why I was terminated and the less qualified, substantially younger employees who were hired after me were being retained.
- (I) Before May 21, 2020, I had no indication that my job was in jeopardy.
- (m) I received positive performance ratings throughout my employment.

## EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 3 of 3 Initials of Charging Party – 550

- (n) I had no performance or disciplinary issues throughout my employment,
- (o) In addition to me, Dexter (60) was terminated effective June 22, 2020.
- (p) Respondent assigned my job duties to Smith (45). I was more qualified and experienced, with a certification and more specialized skillset, to perform my job duties than the substantialty younger employee to whom Respondent assigned my iob duties.
- (q) Respondent retained the two (2) youngest employees reporting to Booknis when I was terminated.
- (r) Respondent terminated two (2) of the three (3) oldest employees reporting to Booknis when I was terminated.
- (s) Respondent failed to provide me with an OWBPA list in connection with Respondent's workforce reduction, and is attempting to hide its age bias.
- (t) Respondent's age discrimination has caused me emotional distress.
- (u) Respondent has a pattern and practice of discriminating against older employees and favoring younger employees.
- B. 1. Respondent's Stated Reasons
  - (a) Respondent's stated reason for terminating my employment, Respondent's workforce reduction, is pretext for age discrimination.
- C, 1. Statutes and Bases for Allegations

I believe that Respondent has discriminated against me based on my age (60), in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq. ("ADEA"), and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination, as amended, N.J.S.A. § 10:5-1, et seq. ("NJLAD") as set forth herein.

### D. 1. Class Charge

I bring this Charge as a class and pattern and practice Charge on behalf of myself and any and all current or former employees of Respondents who are age forty (40) and over, and who have been discriminated against based on age in connection with hiring, promotion, training, development, compensation, or termination decisions, and/or have been subjected to a hostile work environment.

# EXHIBIT B

AMENDED CHARGE OF D	SCRIMINATION	SECTION OF	AGENCY	CHARGE NUMBER		
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 19 consolidating this form.	74; See privacy state	ment before	X EEOC	Austromotic Investor E		
STATE OR LOCAL AGENCY:			Company of the Paris			
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) Stephen J. Warren	tio you at this work	HOME TELI	EPHONE NUM	MBER (Include Area Code)		
	TY, STATE AND Z asking Ridge, NJ 079		man in AM man	DATE OF BIRTH REDACTED		
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR OF STATE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT WHO	ORGANIZATION, I	EMPLOYMEN AGAINST MI	NT AGENCY, E (If more than	, APPRENTICESHIP, COMMITTEE, one than list below)		
NAME IBM Corporation				TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (914) 499-1900		
STREET ADDRESS 1 New Orchard Road	TREATURE TO THE			COUNTY Westchester		
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION (Check appropriate box(es))  Q Race Q Color Q Sex Q Religion Q National Origin  Q Retaliation X Age Q Disability Q Other (Specify)			DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE  Earliest Latest 09/04/2020			
A. 1. Relevant Work History				M		
				jų nemano		
I was hired by Respondent's predecessor reported to Mindy Booknis (45a), Manage (50), Vice President, TSS Services. I wo	er, North America T	SS Support a	s a Service.	Booknis reported to Linda York		
Respondent terminated my employment service at Respondent and Respondent's younger employees in positions for which reporting to Booknis and retained the two younger than me, less qualified than me,	s predecessor. What I was more qualification (2) youngest emp	en I was term ed. Respond loyees report	ninated, Resp Ient terminate	ed two (2) of the oldest employees		
I consistently demonstrated positive performance and received positive	ormance and dedic feedback.		ondent. I per	Urow Telephone		
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and	the State or local Agen	cy, if NOTAF	RY - (when neces	ssary for State and Local Requirements)		
any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures			I swear of affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge information and belief.			
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is tr	rue and correct.	W Vilster evil	Re Lough Los	20091		
Date: Charging Party (Signat 9/9/2020	ure):	SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT				
Hystof Wan	byment produce o	The second control of	UBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE Day Month, and year)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> All ages herein are approximations.

## Page 2 of 6 Initials of Charging Party – S5

#### 2. Harm Summary

I have been discriminated against because of my age (60). Evidence of the discriminatory conduct to which I have been subjected includes, but is not limited to, the following.

- (a) In or about January 2020, I began reporting to Booknis.
- (b) I was one (1) of the three (3) oldest employees reporting to Booknis.
- (c) In addition to me, the following employees reported to Booknis at the time of my termination. I was more qualified to perform these employees' positions.
  - Joseph Avlertz (40), Delivery Project Executive;
  - ii. Marcia Smith (45), Delivery Project Executive;
  - iii. Katia Ramos (50), Project Manager;
  - iv. Scott Severit (55), Delivery Project Executive;
  - v. Ronald Larson (60), Advisory Project Manager, and,
  - vi. Lisa Dexter (60), Delivery Project Manager.
- (d) After Respondent conducted reductions in force, I routinely heard employees commenting that Respondent had an aging workforce and younger employees were being retained.
- (e) In or about January 2020, Booknis transferred Alvertz (40) and Smith (45) to her team.
- (f) I was instructed to train Smith (45) to do my job duties.
- (g) On May 21, 2020, on a WebEx call with Booknis, Respondent terminated my employment, effective June 22, 2020. The stated reason was Respondent's workforce reduction. I was told that I could relocate to Missouri, to an unspecific job, to continue my employment with Respondent.
- (h) On May 21, 2020, in an email from Booknis, she stated the following: "In our highly competitive marketplace, IBM must maintain the flexibility and the skill base to meet the demands of clients operating under extremely challenging business conditions. While we always consider the current environment, IBM is now taking structural actions that are critical to our long-term competitiveness. This includes a workforce reduction which permanently affects your employment." I understood Respondent's statements to be evidence of age discrimination.
- (i) On June 22, 2020, Respondent terminated my employment.
- (j) Respondent terminated my employment because of my age.
- (k) Respondent offered no explanation, including the selection criteria, as to why I was terminated and the less qualified, substantially younger employees who were hired after me were being retained.
- (I) Before May 21, 2020, I had no indication that my job was in jeopardy.
- (m) I received positive performance ratings throughout my employment.

## EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 3 of 6 Initials of Charging Party – STOP

- (n) I had no performance or disciplinary issues throughout my employment.
- (o) In addition to me, Dexter (60) was terminated effective June 22, 2020.
- (p) Respondent assigned my job duties to Smith (45). I was more qualified and experienced, with a certification and more specialized skillset, to perform my job duties than the substantially younger employee to whom Respondent assigned my job duties.
- (q) Respondent retained the two (2) youngest employees reporting to Booknis when I was terminated.
- (r) Respondent terminated two (2) of the three (3) oldest employees reporting to Booknis when I was terminated.
- (s) Respondent failed to provide me with an OWBPA list in connection with Respondent's workforce reduction, and is attempting to hide its age bias.
- (t) Respondent's age discrimination has caused me emotional distress.
- (u) Respondent has a pattern and practice of discriminating against older employees and favoring younger employees.
- (v) On July 6, 2020, I applied for the Cloud Migration Technical Project Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (w) On July 7, 2020, I applied for the Multivendor Services New Business Representative position. I was qualified for the position.
- (x) On July 8, 2020, I applied for the Technical Project Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (y) On July 8, 2020, I applied for the Client Representative Public position. I was qualified for the position.
- (z) On July 8, 2020, I applied for the Industry Client Representative Financial position. I was qualified for the position.
- (aa)On July 9, 2020, I applied for the Help Desk Support Specialist position. I was qualified for the position.
- (bb)On July 9, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Technical Project Manager position.
- (cc) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Technical Project Manager position because of my age.
- (dd)On July 10, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Cloud Migration Technical Project Manager position.
- (ee)Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Cloud Migration Technical Project Manager position because of my age.
- (ff) On July 10, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Multivendor Services New Business Representative position.

## EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 4 of 6 Initials of Charging Party – STW

- (gg)Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Multivendor Services New Business Representative position because of my age.
- (hh)On July 12, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Client Representative Public position.
- (ii) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Client Representative Public position because of my age.
- (jj) On July 12, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Industry Client Representative Financial position.
- (kk) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Industry Client Representative Financial position because of my age.
- (II) On July 14, 2020, I applied for the AWS Modernization Technical Project Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (mm) On July 24, 2020, I applied for the Program Manager Cloud Security position. I was qualified for the position.
- (nn)On July 24, 2020, I applied for the Multivendor Services New Business Representative position. I was qualified for the position.
- (oo)On July 24, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the AWS Modernization Technical Project Manager position.
- (pp)Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the AWS Modernization Technical Project Manager position because of my age.
- (qq)On July 27, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Multivendor Services New Business Representative position.
- (rr) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Multivendor Services New Business Representative position because of my age.
- (ss) On August 7, 2020, I applied for the Cloud Enterprise Operations Tactical Response Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (tt) On August 10, 2020, I applied for the Project Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (uu)On August 10, 2020, I applied for the Offering Manager Program position. I was qualified for the position.
- (vv) On August 12, 2020, I applied for the Technical Product Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (ww) On August 12, 2020, I applied for the Contract Specialist Software Systems position. I was qualified for the position.
- (xx) On August 12, 2020, I applied for the Watson Interaction Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (yy) On August 12, 2020, I applied for the Sales Manager Public and Federal Markets position. I was qualified for the position.

## EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 5 of 6 Initials of Charging Party – STW

- (zz) On August 12, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Sales Manager Public and Federal Markets position.
- (aaa) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Sales Manager Public and Federal Markets position because of my age.
- (bbb) On August 13, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Cloud Enterprise Operations Tactical Response Manager position.
- (ccc) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Cloud Enterprise Operations Tactical Response Manager position because of my age.
- (ddd) On August 20, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Contract Specialist – Software Systems position.
- (eee) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Contract Specialist Software Systems position because of my age.
- (fff) On August 20, 2020, I applied for the Cloud Technical Delivery Manager position. I was qualified for the position.
- (ggg) On August 21, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Project Manager position.
- (hhh) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Project Manager position because of my age.
- (iii) On August 22, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Program Manager Cloud Security position.
- (jjj) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Program Manager Cloud Security position because of my age.
- (kkk) On August 24, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Technical Product Manager position.
- (III) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Technical Product Manager position because of my age.
- (mmm) On August 27, 2020, I was instructed to complete an assessment test in connection with my application for the Offering Manager Program position. I completed the assessment test in connection with my application for the Offering Manager Program position.
- (nnn) On August 28, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Help Desk Support Specialist position.
- (ooo) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Help Desk Support Specialist position because of my age.
- (ppp) On September 1, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Cloud Technical Delivery Manager position.
- (qqq) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Cloud Technical Delivery Manager position because of my age.

## EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 6 of 6 Initials of Charging Party – STW

- (rrr) On September 4, 2020, I learned that Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Watson Interaction Manager position.
- (sss) Respondent failed to select me or interview me for the Watson Interaction Manager position because of my age.

#### B. 1. Respondent's Stated Reasons

- (a) Respondent's stated reason for terminating my employment, Respondent's workforce reduction, is pretext for age discrimination.
- (b) Respondent failed provide any explanation for failing to select me for any of the posted positions for which I was qualified and had applied.

### C. 1. Statutes and Bases for Allegations

I believe that Respondent has discriminated against me based on my age (60), in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq. ("ADEA"), and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination, as amended, N.J.S.A. § 10:5-1, et seq. ("NJLAD") as set forth herein.

#### D. 1. Class Charge

I bring this Charge as a class and pattern and practice Charge on behalf of myself and any and all current or former employees of Respondents who are age forty (40) and over, and who have been discriminated against based on age in connection with hiring, promotion, training, development, compensation, position selection, or termination decisions, and/or have been subjected to a hostile work environment.

## EXHIBIT C

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

	DIOMIOGAL AND ITO	HOL OI	11101110				
REDA	hen J. Warren CTED cing Ridge, NJ 07920	From: Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19107					
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))						
EEOC Charg				Telephone No.			
	Legal Unit,						
530-2020-	04518 Legal Technician			(267) 589-9700			
THE EEO	C IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR TH						
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.						
Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.							
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.						
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge						
X	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.						
	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.						
	Other (briefly state)						
	- NOTICE OF SU (See the additional information						
<b>Discrimina</b> You may fi lawsuit <b>mu</b> lost. (The t	ne Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Info ation in Employment Act: This will be the only notice le a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal la st be filed <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u> of your receipt of this time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law	of dismis w based of notice; o may be d	sal and of your righ on this charge in fed r your right to sue b ifferent.)	t to sue that we will send you. deral or state court. Your ased on this charge will be			
alleged EP	Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state A underpayment. This means that backpay due for all file suit may not be collectible.						
	On behalf o	of the Comr	nission				
	Jania RW Chaman	<u>.</u>		November 18, 2020			
Enclosures(s	Jamie R. Wil District Di	•		(Date Mailed)			
Bonnie Pierson-Murphy, Esq. Vice President and Assistant General Counsel IBM CORPORATION HEADQUARTERS 1 New Orchard Road Baldwin Place, NY 10505			Emily Derstine Friesen, Esq. CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC 1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102				